

Shaping the Future

UNRBA Status Update October 2012

Alix Matos Lauren Elmore





Agenda

- Status update on project
- Discuss preliminary list of future monitoring studies
 - Lessons learned in Tasks 1, 2, 3, and 4
 - Objectives for monitoring studies
 - Identify potential studies needed to meet each objective



Status Update: Tasks 1 through 4





Task 1 – Develop Framework for Reexamination

- Obtained available use attainment data
 - NCWRC fish surveys
 - Falls Lake State Park visitation
- Need to obtain
 - Wake County beach closure data
 - Raleigh WTP pounds of ferric used
- Developing spreadsheet tool to link water quality to designated uses







Task 2 – Summary of Existing Data and Knowledge

- Submitted draft TM to Path Forward Committee
- Incorporated comments and performed requested analyses
- Finalizing TM and Appendices in near future







Task 3 – Load Estimation (Tributary and Jurisdictional)

- Summarized differences between existing watershed models
- Currently assessing tributary loading for upper five watersheds with and without Hurricane Alberto
- Need to estimate internal phosphorus loading with Nurnberg method
- Plan to submit draft TM to Path Forward Committee in early November







Task 4 – Recommendations for Monitoring and Modeling Studies

- Conducted review of existing models
 - WARMF
 - EFDC
- Provided preliminary list of future monitoring studies to Path Forward Committee
 - Draft recommendations
 - Likely to change once model(s) are selected
 - Will require strategic planning and coordination with UNRBA and other agencies
- Will recommend future modeling studies to support the reexamination



Data Gaps Identified in Tasks 1 through 4





Task 1 Data Gaps

- Limited data available to link water quality in Falls Lake to designated uses:
 - Fish surveys only conducted in Lower Lake
 - Recreational counts are conducted monthly
- Additional data is required to
 - Support regulatory options
 - Demonstrate linkage between water quality and designated uses







Task 2 Data Gaps

- Tributary data collected in upper reaches
- Water quality data needed near the mouths of the tributaries
- NCDWQ used in-lake chlorophyll a and TOC data to simulate tributary loads
 - Affects model development
 - Simulation of lake response to nutrient reductions may be inaccurate







Task 3 Data Gaps

- Limited flow and water quality data available at the mouths of tributaries to estimate tributary loads
- Existing watershed models are highly uncertain with respect to estimation of jurisdictional loads
- Geologic effects are largely unknown
 - Source loading
 - Fate and transport
- Legacy issues are not addressed
- Specific sources are not well quantified
 - Streambank erosion
 - Onsite wastewater systems







Task 4 Data Gaps

- We will be recommending future modeling studies to support the re-examination
- Once the models are selected, additional monitoring studies may be needed to fill knowledge gaps



Review Monitoring Objectives





Objectives for Future Monitoring Studies

- 1. Reduce uncertainty associated with source load allocation and estimation of jurisdictional loading
- 2. Demonstrate compliance / nutrient reductions
- 3. Support lake response modeling
- 4. Describe linkage between water quality and designated uses
- 5. Provide basis for credit estimation, trading, and best management practice (BMP) effectiveness
- 6. Support pursuit of various regulatory options: use attainability analysis (UAA), site specific criteria, etc.
- 7. Prioritize BMP Implementation
- 8. Others???





Considerations for Future Monitoring Studies

- Different types of monitoring needed to meet all objectives
- Design monitoring programs to meet multiple objectives
- Obtain input from the UNRBA to
 - Prioritize objectives and monitoring studies
 - Refine the list of studies
- Utilize strategic planning to
 - Reduce monitoring costs
 - Coordinate multiple monitoring studies and organizations
 - Seek grants and funding partners





Further Considerations for Future Monitoring Studies

- Focus on filling watershed data gaps
 - Jurisdictional loading
 - Source specific loads
 - Tributary loading to Falls Lake
- Falls Lake water quality is generally well characterized assuming existing monitoring continues
- Falls Lake studies are needed to link water quality to designated uses





Future Monitoring Studies Focus on

- Additional Flow Data
- Field parameters
- Water quality parameters
- Designated use attainment



Discussion of Table 1 Handout and Questions

